

University of Groningen

## Equilibrium Bird Species Diversity in Atlantic Islands

Valente, Luis; Illera, Juan Carlos; Havenstein, Katja; Pallien, Tamara; Etienne, Rampal S.; Tiedemann, Ralph

*Published in:*  
Current Biology

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.053)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Final author's version (accepted by publisher, after peer review)

*Publication date:*  
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Valente, L., Illera, J. C., Havenstein, K., Pallien, T., Etienne, R. S., & Tiedemann, R. (2017). Equilibrium Bird Species Diversity in Atlantic Islands. *Current Biology*, 27(11), 1660-1666.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.053>

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# Current Biology

## Equilibrium bird species diversity in Atlantic islands

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	CURRENT-BIOLOGY-D-17-00349R1
<b>Full Title:</b>	Equilibrium bird species diversity in Atlantic islands
<b>Article Type:</b>	Report
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Luis Valente Museum für Naturkunde Berlin Berlin, GERMANY
<b>First Author:</b>	Luis Valente
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Luis Valente Juan Carlos Illera Katja Havenstein Tamara Pallien Rampal S Etienne Ralph Tiedemann
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Half a century ago, MacArthur and Wilson proposed that the number of species on islands tends towards a dynamic equilibrium diversity around which species richness fluctuates. The current prevailing view in island biogeography accepts the fundamentals of MacArthur and Wilson's theory, but questions whether their prediction of equilibrium can be fulfilled over evolutionary time scales, given the unpredictable and ever-changing nature of island geological and biotic features. Here we conduct a complete molecular phylogenetic survey of the terrestrial bird species from four oceanic archipelagos that make up the diverse Macaronesian bioregion - Azores, Canary Islands, Cape Verde and Madeira. We estimate the times at which birds colonized and speciated in the four archipelagos, including many previously unsampled endemic and non-endemic taxa and their closest continental relatives. We develop and fit a new multi-archipelago dynamic stochastic model to these data, explicitly incorporating information from 91 taxa, both extant and extinct. Remarkably, we find that all four archipelagos have independently achieved and maintained a dynamic equilibrium over millions of years. Biogeographical rates are homogenous across archipelagos, except for the Canary Islands, which exhibit higher speciation and colonization. Our finding that the avian communities of the four Macaronesian archipelagos display an equilibrium diversity pattern indicates that a diversity plateau may be rapidly achieved on islands where rates of in situ radiation are low and extinction is high. This study reveals that equilibrium processes may be more prevalent than recently proposed, supporting MacArthur and Wilson's 50 year old theory.</p>

**Report**

**Equilibrium bird species diversity in Atlantic islands**

Luis Valente<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Juan Carlos Illera<sup>3</sup>, Katja Havenstein<sup>2</sup>, Tamara Pallien<sup>2</sup>,  
Rampal S. Etienne<sup>4,a</sup>, Ralph Tiedemann<sup>2,a</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Invalidenstraße 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany.

<sup>2</sup> Unit of Evolutionary Biology/Systematic Zoology, Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Strasse 24-25, Haus 26, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany.

<sup>3</sup> Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO-CSIC-PA), Oviedo University, 33600 Mieres, Asturias, Spain.

<sup>4</sup> Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, PO Box 11103, Groningen 9700 CC, The Netherlands.

\*Corresponding Author and Lead Contact: [luis.valente@mfn-berlin.de](mailto:luis.valente@mfn-berlin.de)

<sup>a</sup>Joint senior authors

**Keywords:** Dynamic equilibrium, island biogeography, extinction, diversification, phylogeny, colonization, Canary Islands, Azores, Madeira, Cape Verde

## SUMMARY

Half a century ago, MacArthur and Wilson proposed that the number of species on islands tends towards a dynamic equilibrium diversity around which species richness fluctuates [1]. The current prevailing view in island biogeography accepts the fundamentals of MacArthur and Wilson's theory [2], but questions whether their prediction of equilibrium can be fulfilled over evolutionary time scales, given the unpredictable and ever-changing nature of island geological and biotic features [3–7]. Here we conduct a complete molecular phylogenetic survey of the terrestrial bird species from four oceanic archipelagos that make up the diverse Macaronesian bioregion - Azores, Canary Islands, Cape Verde and Madeira [8,9]. We estimate the times at which birds colonized and speciated in the four archipelagos, including many previously unsampled endemic and non-endemic taxa and their closest continental relatives. We develop and fit a new multi-archipelago dynamic stochastic model to these data, explicitly incorporating information from 91 taxa, both extant and extinct. Remarkably, we find that all four archipelagos have independently achieved and maintained a dynamic equilibrium over millions of years. Biogeographical rates are homogenous across archipelagos, except for the Canary Islands, which exhibit higher speciation and colonization. Our finding that the avian communities of the four Macaronesian archipelagos display an equilibrium diversity pattern indicates that a diversity plateau may be rapidly achieved on islands where rates of *in situ* radiation are low and extinction is high. This study reveals that equilibrium processes may be more prevalent than recently proposed, supporting MacArthur and Wilson's 50 year old theory.

## RESULTS

The biogeographical region of Macaronesia [8], located in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean, comprises four main volcanic island chains - Azores, Madeira, Canary Islands and Cape Verde (Figure 1, Table 1). In our analyses, we focus on bird species whose ecology is broadly comparable to that of a typical songbird, and therefore we exclude birds of prey and rails. We also exclude marine, aquatic, migratory and introduced species. To estimate times of colonization and speciation of Macaronesian birds, we reconstructed and dated phylogenies covering all known colonization events of native terrestrial birds from our focal group in the islands – including many that had never before been studied (Table S1). We identified a total of 91 independent colonization events (Table S2): 15 on the Azores, 46 on the Canary Islands, 10 on Cape Verde, 19 on Madeira and one on the Selvagens (a small archipelago that we do not include in the main analyses, see STAR Methods). The colonization events comprise 63 species, 29 of which are endemic to a single archipelago, two are endemic to Macaronesia (Berthelot's pipit and the island canary) and 32 are non-endemic (also occur in the continent). We identified only two occasions of cladogenetic events that have extant descendants on the islands, both within the Canary Islands: the blue tits (*Cyanistes*) group of the central islands and one within the blue chaffinches (*Fringilla*, Table S3). The times of colonization of the archipelagos obtained in our Bayesian dating analyses are shown in Figure 1 and Table S2. The average age of colonization of Macaronesia is  $0.97 (\pm 0.15)$  million years (Myr) (Figure S1, Table 1). Endemic species are significantly older ( $2.71 (\pm 0.54)$  Myr) than non-endemic species (mean  $0.54 (\pm 0.07)$  Myr) ( $P < 0.001$ ). An analysis of variance revealed no significant differences in colonization times between island chains for both endemic ( $P = 0.79$ ) and non-endemic ( $P = 0.69$ ) species.

To estimate rates of colonization, extinction, cladogenesis and anagenesis we used DAISIE (dynamic assembly of islands through speciation, immigration and extinction), an island biogeography process-based model [5]. DAISIE estimates rates of island biota assembly – including extinction – based on phylogenetic information, with high precision [5,10]. Here we develop a new multi-archipelago version of DAISIE that allows us to test whether the different island groups are governed by the same macroevolutionary process. We treat each of the archipelagos as an ‘island’, because the importance of the archipelago as the relevant unit in island biogeography is increasingly recognized [11,12] and birds are vagile taxa that disperse relatively frequently between islands of the same archipelago [13].

Using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), the preferred multi-archipelago DAISIE model is M17, a model with six parameters (Table S4 and S5, Figure 2). Two models that are very similar to M17 - M15 and M24 (Table S5) – also carry a large proportion of BIC weight, and cannot be ruled out (see STAR Methods). According to the M17 model, all Macaronesian islands share the same macroevolutionary rates for extinction – 1.05 events per lineage per Myr; and anagenesis – 0.51 events per lineage per Myr (Table S5). Further, in the Azores, Cape Verde and Madeira cladogenesis is absent and colonization rate is 0.05 events per mainland lineage per Myr (equivalent to 15 events per Myr given a mainland pool size of 300 species). The exception is the Canary Islands, which have a different (non-zero) rate of cladogenesis (0.13 events per lineage per Myr) and a higher rate of colonization (0.15 colonization events per mainland lineage per Myr, equivalent to 45 colonization events per Myr). The M17 model is diversity-independent (there are no negative feedbacks of diversity on rates of colonization and cladogenesis). A bootstrap analysis using multiple simulated datasets revealed that the model performs well, recovering correct parameter values with little bias (Figure S2).

The preferred model for all archipelagos is an equilibrium model, because the rate of extinction exceeds the rate of cladogenesis [10]. Simulations of total species diversity-through-time reveal a general pattern of the number of species in the four Macaronesian archipelagos rapidly reaching an asymptotic phase (Figure 3). The four island chains are thus currently at equilibrium, and this state has been maintained over millions of years.

## DISCUSSION

Our molecular phylogenetic dating analysis of the terrestrial avian community of Macaronesia covering all known extant and extinct colonization events provides a valuable temporal context for understanding the biogeographical and diversification history of the islands [9,14]. Fitting the new multi-archipelago DAISIE models to these phylogenetic data revealed striking homogeneity in rates of bird species accumulation in the Macaronesian bioregion (Figure 2), with diversity resulting from essentially the same biogeographical process. Indeed, three of the archipelagos (Azores, Cape Verde and Madeira) are governed by the same macroevolutionary dynamics model (Table S5). The Canary Islands form the only exception: although they share the same rate of extinction and anagenesis as all other island chains (Figure 2), they exhibit substantially higher rates of cladogenesis and colonization. In addition, while in the other three archipelagos the preferred model was one with no cladogenesis, the phylogenetic data of Canarian birds was best fit by a model where endemic diversity is generated both through cladogenesis and anagenesis. The reason why *in situ* radiation seems to take place in the Canary Islands whilst being absent in the other archipelagos may be linked to the fact that the Canaries have by far the largest area of all Macaronesian archipelagos [9] which may have facilitated allopatry, a key factor in triggering

avian radiations [15]. Indeed, the archipelago has been the setting for multiple radiations across other taxonomic groups [16,17].

The results regarding the rates of colonization and extinction are striking. While the higher rate of colonization of the Canaries may be expected given their proximity to the African continent (only 96 km), the homogeneity in rates we found for the other three archipelagos is unexpected. A decline of immigration rates with increasing distance from a source pool is a standard feature of most island biogeography models [4,11,18]. However, we find no support for archipelagos with very different levels of isolation (Table 1) having been colonized at different rates by birds. It appears that for a vagile group such as birds the distance-colonization relationship may be more complex than previously thought – birds that are able to cross a certain distance threshold may be able to reach various mid-isolation islands with a similar probability [15,19]. Strictly speaking, we cannot rule out the possibility that differences in the avifaunas of the mainland source areas – e.g. in the proportion of species able to reach and successfully establish islands whose environment is different from the continent – may have cancelled out differences in distance. However, this requires a quite tight negative correlation between the size of the mainland species pool of potential colonizers and dispersal distance for which we see no straightforward explanation.

Regarding extinction, the homogeneity in rates across the four archipelagos is also surprising, particularly given the differences in area between them. Models with differential extinction all performed poorly (Table S5) and the precision of our extinction estimates was high (Figure S2), supporting the robustness of this result. The influence of latitude, climate and intra-archipelagic connectivity, which may have enabled greater gene flow and rescue of small populations in the smaller archipelagos, likely overwhelmed the negative effect of area on extinction.



As expected, species that have been classified as endemics mostly show deep levels of divergence from continental relatives (Figures 1 and S1, Table 1). The oldest extant endemic species in each of the archipelagos are: the Azores chaffinch (*Fringilla moreletii*), the laurel pigeon of the Canary Islands (*Columba junoniae*), the Razo lark of Cape Verde (*Alauda razae*) and the Madeira firecrest (*Regulus madeirensis*) (Table S2). Interestingly, among the taxa with deep divergences, we also find some to which species status has not been assigned and are considered subspecies, despite being older than some archipelagic endemics. For example, the European robin subspecies *Erithacus rubecula* subsp. *marionae* [20] of the Canary Islands colonized the archipelago almost 3 Myr ago and forms a well-supported monophyletic clade. We investigated whether taxonomic scheme affected our results, and found that treating taxa with deep divergences as endemics led to increased estimates of anagenesis in DAISIE but did not affect the preferred model (see STAR Methods).

Tests of diversity equilibrium on islands have traditionally been conducted over ecological time scales [21], as MacArthur and Wilson's mathematical work focused on extinction versus immigration. However, in *The Theory of Island Biogeography* [1] they explicitly considered the speciation phase and adaptive radiation – i.e. evolutionary processes. Formal tests of this theory on evolutionary time scales were unfeasible until recently, because they required information on events that took place in the geological past [3]. In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the mainstream of the field of island biogeography has fully incorporated the evolutionary aspects of MacArthur and Wilson's theory [2,5,18,22]. In a series of pioneering studies, Ricklefs and Bermingham fitted colonization-extinction models (excluding speciation) to species accumulation curves from several insular communities, finding evidence for evolutionary equilibrium in the number of independent island colonist lineages of Hawaiian birds, West Indian reptiles and New Zealand ferns, but not in Lesser Antillean birds [13,23–25]. More recently, the development of the DAISIE model has allowed explicit

consideration of speciation via *in situ* radiation (cladogenesis) and anagenesis, enabling tests of equilibrium not just in the number of lineages, but also in total species diversity. The previous applications of this method have either found no evidence for equilibrium dynamics – in Galápagos birds [5] - or found diversity to be much below equilibrium – in Greater Antillean bats [10].

Our results reveal that the avifauna of each of the four Macaronesian archipelagos has independently achieved a diversity steady-state and is at a macroevolutionary equilibrium. The preferred model was diversity-independent, indicating that equilibrium does not arise through negative diversity feedbacks as is the case in other vertebrate insular groups [26,27]. Instead, total species richness has reached a plateau in the four island groups (Figure 3) because the rate of extinction is higher than the rate of speciation [10]. By incorporating speciation, our study reveals that a macroevolutionary equilibrium can be achieved in the total number of species.

The finding that Macaronesian birds are at equilibrium contrasts with the results of the only other avian study to assess equilibrium including speciation, on the Galápagos avifauna, which found no evidence for steady-state dynamics. However, the Galápagos is an atypical archipelago in that it supports two large endemic radiations of birds [15]. In fact, despite being rich in bird species, oceanic islands are generally home to few or no avian radiations - most of their endemic bird species show an anagenetic pattern, i.e. they have no close relatives on the same island or archipelago [15,28,29]. Whereas in the Galápagos the rate of cladogenesis in birds was high enough to overcome the balancing effects of extinction – leading to non-asymptotic behavior of the species through time plot (Figure 3) - in Macaronesia the rates of *in situ* radiation are too low to allow positive diversification rates. Only two clades, the chaffinches and the blue tits, have undergone cladogenesis within the bioregion, producing two and three species, respectively. These ‘radiations’ are modest when

193 compared to the highly diverse Darwin's finches [30]. Thus, the key to achieving equilibrium  
194 appears to be a lack of opportunities for *in situ* diversification in an archipelagic context. The  
195 ability of birds to fly and disperse between islands within an archipelago is potentially the  
196 main cause for low rates of cladogenesis in most archipelago lineages of birds [15,29]. In  
197 addition, extrinsic factors such as island configuration, connectivity and climate (mostly  
198 temperate in Macaronesia) may also have contributed to preventing lineage splitting or  
199 survival of incipient allopatric species [15].

200         Recent verbal and simulation models have suggested speciation and colonization  
201 processes on volcanic islands may operate at rates that are too slow to allow the realized  
202 species diversity to match the theoretical equilibrium or carrying-capacity in a context of  
203 ongoing geological change and environmental fluctuations [3,4,22,31], i.e. the concept of  
204 unattained equilibrium [2]. As a result, the current prevailing view in island biogeography  
205 accepts the essentials of MacArthur and Wilson's theory (e.g. colonization and extinction  
206 depend on island isolation and size), but doubts their prediction of equilibrium can be fulfilled  
207 over long time scales [2]. Indeed, in Macaronesia, detailed paleogeographic reconstructions  
208 have provided support for disequilibrium models, by revealing pronounced geological  
209 instability as well as variable levels of connectivity between landmasses [8,32]. Surprisingly,  
210 our results in birds suggest that equilibrium in this vertebrate group may be attained within a  
211 relatively short time frame. When rates of cladogenesis are low (as in the Canary Islands) or  
212 absent (Azores, Cape Verde and Madeira), the pace of approach to equilibrium is determined  
213 almost solely by the rate of extinction [4,10]. The rate of colonization does affect the  
214 approach to equilibrium in the number of non-endemic species, but not in the number of  
215 endemic species (see STAR methods). Thus, archipelagos with high rates of natural extinction  
216 may rapidly reach equilibrium regardless of how often they are colonized, potentially  
217 outpacing major geological change that may otherwise deter steady-state. While volcanic

activity and sea level fluctuations have certainly had a dramatic effect on insular diversity on short time scales [3,12,32], the good fit of the model in our analyses suggests that such events may have limited impact on diversity at longer scales.

Influenced by the equilibrium theory of island biogeography, research on insular communities in the 20<sup>th</sup> century was arguably dominated by an equilibrium perspective [31]. However, in recent years, the idea that islands tend towards a dynamic equilibrium diversity which is maintained over extended periods has increasingly been replaced by a non-deterministic disequilibrium view, in which diversity is constantly tracking a theoretical equilibrium that is never reached [2,3,6,7,10]. Our results do not support this trend by suggesting the avifaunas of four oceanic archipelagos have achieved and maintained a diversity steady-state for millions of years. The findings on the birds of these North Atlantic islands are particularly relevant because they are representative of the typical oceanic island – they are rich in endemic bird species but poor in *in situ* avian radiations. This suggests that the pattern of long-term evolutionary diversity steady-state being achieved in a short period of time may be the case for many more islands. Future studies on the avifaunas of other island systems worldwide may reveal that MacArthur and Wilson’s prediction of equilibrium is widespread.

## Author Contributions

L.V. designed the study, performed the analyses and wrote the original draft. R.S.E. developed new statistical tools and contributed to study design. R.T. supervised the molecular analyses and contributed to study design. J.C.I. provided expertise on Macaronesian birds and conducted the fieldwork. K.H., T.P and J.C.I. performed the laboratory work.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Ally Phillimore, Robert Ricklefs, Haris Saslis-Lagoudakis, Paul van Els and an anonymous reviewer for comments on the manuscript. Mariano Hernández, Guillermo López, Ángel Moreno, David P. Padilla, Alexandre Tavares and J.L. Tella for samples. Juan Carlos Rando and Josep Alcover provided unpublished information on extinct passerines in Macaronesia. Patrick Weigelt provided island map data. LV was funded by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the German Science Foundation (DFG Research grant VA 1102/1-1) and the Brandenburg Postdoc Prize. RSE was funded by a VICI grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). JCI by a MINECO grant (Ref.: CGL2014-53899-P). The Regional governments of Andalucía, Canary Islands, Madeira and Azores, and the Moroccan and Cape Verde Environment Ministries gave permission to perform the sampling work. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. MacArthur, R.H., and Wilson, E.O. (1967). *The Theory of Island Biogeography* (Princeton: Princeton University Press).
2. Warren, B., Simberloff, D., Ricklefs, R., Aguilée, R., Condamine, F., Gillespie, R., Gravel, D., H, M., Mouquet, N., Rosindell, J., *et al.* (2015). Islands as model systems in ecology and evolution : progress and prospects fifty years after MacArthur-Wilson. *Ecol. Lett.* *18*, 200–217.
3. Whittaker, R.J., Triantis, K.A., and Ladle, R.J. (2008). A general dynamic theory of oceanic island biogeography. *J. Biogeogr.* *35*, 977–994.
4. Valente, L.M., Etienne, R.S., and Phillimore, A.B. (2014). The effects of island ontogeny on species diversity and phylogeny. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* *281*, 20133227.
5. Valente, L.M., Phillimore, A.B., and Etienne, R.S. (2015). Equilibrium and non-equilibrium dynamics simultaneously operate in the Galápagos islands. *Ecol. Lett.* *18*, 844–852.
6. Marshall, C.R., and Quental, T.B. (2016). The uncertain role of diversity dependence in species diversification and the need to incorporate time-varying carrying capacities. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* *371*, 20150217-.
7. Borregaard, M.K., Amorim, I.R., Borges, P.A. V, Cabral, J.S., Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Field, R., Heaney, L.R., Kreft, H., Matthews, T.J., Olesen, J.M., *et al.* (2016). Oceanic island biogeography through the lens of the general dynamic model: assessment and prospect. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.*
8. Fernández-Palacios, J.M., de Nascimento, L., Otto, R., Delgado, J.D., García-del-Rey, E., Arévalo, J.R., and Whittaker, R.J. (2011). A reconstruction of Palaeo-Macaronesia, with particular reference to the long-term biogeography of the Atlantic island laurel forests. *J. Biogeogr.* *38*, 226–246.
9. Illera, J.C., Rando, J.C., Richardson, D.S., and Emerson, B.C. (2012). Age, origins and extinctions of the avifauna of Macaronesia: a synthesis of phylogenetic and fossil information. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* *50*, 14–22.
10. Valente, L., Etienne, R., and Dávalos, L. (2017). Recent extinctions disturb path to equilibrium diversity in Caribbean bats. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* *1*, 26.
11. Triantis, K.A., Economo, E.P., Guilhaumon, F., and Ricklefs, R.E. (2015). Diversity regulation at macro-scales: species richness on oceanic archipelagos. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* *24*, 594–605.

12. Weigelt, P., Steinbauer, M.J., Cabral, J.S., and Kreft, H. (2016). Late Quaternary climate change shapes island biodiversity. *Nature* 532, 99–102.
13. Ricklefs, R.E., and Bermingham, E. (2001). Nonequilibrium diversity dynamics of the Lesser Antillean avifauna. *Science*. 294, 1522–1524.
14. Emerson, B.C., and Gillespie, R.G. (2008). Phylogenetic analysis of community assembly and structure over space and time. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23, 619–30.
15. Ricklefs, R.E., and Bermingham, E. (2007). The causes of evolutionary radiations in archipelagoes: passerine birds in the Lesser Antilles. *Am. Nat.* 169, 285–297.
16. Juan, C., Emerson, B.C., Oromi, P., and Hewitt, G.M. (2000). Colonization and diversification: towards a phylogeographic synthesis for the Canary Islands. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 15, 104–109.
17. Sanmartín, I., Van Der Mark, P., and Ronquist, F. (2008). Inferring dispersal: A Bayesian approach to phylogeny-based island biogeography, with special reference to the Canary Islands. *J. Biogeogr.* 35, 428–449.
18. Rosindell, J., and Phillimore, A.B. (2011). A unified model of island biogeography sheds light on the zone of radiation. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 552–560.
19. Price, T. (2008). *Speciation in Birds* (Greenwood Village: Roberts & Co.).
20. Dietzen, C., Michels, J.P., and Wink, M. (2015). Formal description of a new subspecies of the European robin from Gran Canaria island, Spain (Aves: Muscicapidae: *Erithacus rubecula marionae* subsp. nov.). *Open Ornithol. J.* 8, 0.
21. Diamond, J.M. (1969). Avifaunal equilibria and species turnover rates on the Channel Islands of California. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 64, 57–63.
22. Borregaard, M.K., Matthews, T.J., and Whittaker, R.J. (2015). The general dynamic model: towards a unified theory of island biogeography? *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 25, 805–816.
23. Ricklefs, R., and Bermingham, E. (2008). The West Indies as a laboratory of biogeography and evolution. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 363, 2393–2413.
24. Ricklefs, R.E., and Bermingham, E. (2004). Application of Johnson et al's speciation threshold model to apparent colonization times of island biotas. *Evolution*. 58, 1664–1673.
25. Ricklefs, R.E. (2009). Dynamics of colonization and extinction on islands. In *The theory of island biogeography revisited*, J. B. Losos and R. E. Ricklefs, eds. (Princeton University Press), p. 388.
26. Rabosky, D.L., and Glor, R.E. (2010). Equilibrium speciation dynamics in a model

- adaptive radiation of island lizards. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 22178–22183.
27. Scantlebury, D.P. (2013). Diversification rates have declined in the Malagasy herpetofauna. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 280, 20131109.
  28. Coyne, J.A., and Price, T.D. (2000). Little evidence for sympatric speciation in island birds. *Evolution* (N. Y). 54, 2166–2171.
  29. Price, T.D. (2011). Adaptive radiations: there’s something about finches. *Curr. Biol.* 21, R953-5.
  30. Grant, P.R., and Grant, B.R. (2008). How and why species multiply: the radiation of Darwin’s finches (Princeton: Princeton University Press).
  31. Heaney, L.R. (2000). Dynamic disequilibrium: a long-term, large-scale perspective on the equilibrium model of island biogeography. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 9, 59–74.
  32. Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Rijdsdijk, K.F., Norder, S.J., Otto, R., de Nascimento, L., Fernández-Lugo, S., Tjørve, E., and Whittaker, R.J. (2015). Towards a glacial-sensitive model of island biogeography. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 25, 817–830.
  33. del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A. & de Juana, E. ed. (2017). *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive* (Barcelona: Lynx Edicions).
  34. Arechavaleta, M., Rodriguez, S., Zurita, N., and Garcia, A. (2010). Lista de especies silvestres de Canarias. Hongos, plantas y animales terrestres (Gobierno de Canarias).
  35. Barcelos, L.M.D., Rodrigues, P.R., Bried, J., Mendonça, E.P., Gabriel, R., and Borges, P.A.V. (2015). Birds from the Azores: An updated list with some comments on species distribution. *Biodivers. data J.* 3, e6604.
  36. Illera, J.C., Spurgin, L.G., Rodriguez-Exposito, E., Nogales, M., and Rando, J.C. (2016). What are we learning about speciation and extinction from the Canary Islands? *Ardeola* 63, 5–23.
  37. Weir, J.T., and Schluter, D. (2008). Calibrating the avian molecular clock. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 2321–2328.
  38. Nguyen, J.M.T., and Ho, S.Y.W. (2016). Mitochondrial rate variation among lineages of passerine birds. *J. Avian Biol.* 47, 690–696.
  39. Päckert, M., Martens, J., Hering, J., Kvist, L., and Illera, J.C. (2013). Return flight to the Canary Islands – the key role of peripheral populations of Afrocanarian blue tits (*Aves: Cyanistes teneriffae*) in multi-gene reconstructions of colonization pathways. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 67, 458–467.
  40. Stervander, M., Illera, J.C., Kvist, L., Barbosa, P., Keehnen, N.P., Pruisscher, P., Bensch, S., and Hansson, B. (2015). Disentangling the complex evolutionary history of



- the Western Palearctic blue tits (*Cyanistes* spp.) - phylogenomic analyses suggest radiation by multiple colonisation events and subsequent isolation. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 2477–2494.
41. Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., *et al.* (2012). Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1647–9.
  42. Dietzen, C., Voigt, C., Wink, M., Gahr, M., and Leitner, S. (2006). Phylogeography of island canary (*Serinus canaria*) populations. *J. Ornithol.* **147**, 485–494.
  43. Illera, J.C., Palmero, A.M., Laiolo, P., Rodríguez, F., Moreno, Á.C., and Navascués, M. (2014). Genetic, morphological, and acoustic evidence reveals lack of diversification in the colonization process in an island bird. *Evolution*. **68**, 2259–2274.
  44. Kocher, T.D., Thomas, W.K., Meyer, A., Edwards, S. V., Paabo, S., Villablanca, F.X., Wilson, A.C., Pääbo, S., Villablanca, F.X., and Wilson, A.C. (1989). Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **86**, 6196–6200.
  45. Dietzen, C., Witt, H.-H., and Wink, M. (2003). The phylogeographic differentiation of the European robin *Erithacus rubecula* on the Canary Islands revealed by mitochondrial DNA sequence data and morphometrics: evidence for a new robin taxon on Gran Canaria? *Avian Sci.* **3**, 115–132.
  46. Edwards, S. V., Arctander, P., and Wilson, A.C. (1991). Mitochondrial resolution of a deep branch in the genealogical tree for perching birds. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **243**, 99–107.
  47. Helm-Bychowski, K., and Cracraft, J. (1993). Recovering phylogenetic signal from DNA sequences: relationships within the corvine assemblage (class aves) as inferred from complete sequences of the mitochondrial DNA cytochrome-b gene. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **10**, 1196–1214.
  48. Bouckaert, R., Heled, J., Kühnert, D., Vaughan, T., Wu, C.H., Xie, D., Suchard, M.A., Rambaut, A., and Drummond, A.J. (2014). BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **10**, e1003537.
  49. Alström, P., Barnes, K.N., Olsson, U., Barker, F.K., Bloomer, P., Khan, A.A., Qureshi, M.A., Guillaumet, A., Crochet, P.A., and Ryan, P.G. (2013). Multilocus phylogeny of the avian family Alaudidae (larks) reveals complex morphological evolution, non-monophyletic genera and hidden species diversity. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **69**, 1043–1056.

- 390 50. Posada, D. (2008). jModelTest: Phylogenetic Model Averaging. *Mol Biol Evol* 25,  
391 1253–1256.
- 392 51. Alcover, J.A., Pieper, H., Pereira, F., and Rando, J.C. (2015). Five new extinct species  
393 of rails (Aves: Gruiformes: Rallidae) from the Macaronesian Islands (North Atlantic  
394 Ocean). *Zootaxa* 4057, 151–190.
- 395 52. Ramalho, R., Helffrich, G., Madeira, J., Cosca, M., Quartau, R., Thomas, C., Hipolito,  
396 A., and Avila, S.P. (2014). The emergence and evolution of Santa Maria Island  
397 (Azores) - the conundrum of uplifting islands revisited. In AGU-Fall-Meeting (San  
398 Francisco), p. Abstract V11B–4697.
- 399 53. Carracedo, J.C., and Day, S. (2002). Canary Islands. Classic geology in Europe series  
400 (Hertfordshire: Terra Publishing).
- 401 54. Ramalho, R. (2011). Building the Cape Verde Islands (Berlin: Springer).
- 402 55. Mata, J., Fonseca, P.E., Prada, S., Rodrigues, D., Martins, S., and Ramalho, R. (2013).  
403 O arquipélago da Madeira - Geografia de Portugal. Esc. Ed. 2, 691–746.
- 404 56. Sangster, G., Rodríguez-Godoy, F., Roselaar, C.S., Robb, M.S., and Luksenburg, J.A.  
405 (2016). Integrative taxonomy reveals Europe's rarest songbird species, the Gran  
406 Canaria blue chaffinch *Fringilla polatzeki*. *J. Avian Biol.* 47, 159–166.
- 407 57. Clements, J.F., Schulenberg, T.S., Iliff, M.J., Roberson, D., Fredericks, T.A., Sullivan,  
408 B.L., and Wood, C.L. (2016). The eBird/Clements checklist of birds of the world:  
409 v2016.
- 410  
411

**Figure 1 - Colonization times of Macaronesian bird taxa and map of Macaronesia.** The vertical lines show the maximum geological ages of the archipelagos. Filled circles – non-endemic species; unfilled circles – endemic species, unfilled squares – Macaronesian endemic. Numbers next to the colonization events correspond to codes in Table S2. 95% confidence intervals for the estimates are given in Table S2. Bird drawings used with permission from HBW [33]. See also Table S2.

**Figure 2 - Maximum likelihood estimates of the rates of cladogenesis, extinction, colonization and anagenesis for Macaronesia.** Estimated in DAISIE using the M17 model, and for the rates previously found in Galápagos birds [5]. Rates in events per lineage per million years. Error bars show 2.5-97.5 percentiles of bootstrap analyses. See also Tables S5 and S6.

**Figure 3 – Number of species through time (Myr) in each of the archipelagos.** Based on 5,000 datasets simulated with the ML parameters of the best DAISIE model (M17). Black line shows median value across simulations, and the coloured areas the 2.5 - 97.5 percentiles. The inset shows the same plot for the Galápagos islands [5]. Grey dashed line - pre-human diversity; black dashed line – contemporary diversity (excluding extinct species). See also Table S5.

**Table 1 – Macaronesia archipelago characteristics and mean colonization times.** Times obtained in the divergence dating analyses (standard error in brackets).

	<b>Archipelago</b>				
	<b>Macaronesia</b>	<b>Azores</b>	<b>Canary Islands</b>	<b>Cape Verde</b>	<b>Madeira</b>
Species (total)	63	15	49	10	19
Endemic species (total)	31	5	16	3	5
Colonizations (total)	91	15	46	10	19
Species (extant)	50	12	42	10	16
Endemic species (extant)	21	2	11	3	3
Colonizations (extant)	78	12	39	10	16
Known extinct species	13	3	7	0	3
Radiations	2	0	2	0	0
Island Age (Myr)	29*	6.3	21	15.8	18.8
Distance to continent (km)	96	1365	96	568	633
<b>Colonization time (Myr)</b>					
All taxa	0.97 (0.15)	0.52 (0.11)	1.09 (0.23)	1.38 (0.6)	0.76 (0.31)
Endemic	2.71 (0.54)	1.21 (0.13)	2.86 (0.84)	3.27 (1.57)	2.73 (1.36)
Non-endemic	0.54 (0.07)	0.39 (0.06)	0.66 (0.12)	0.58 (0.22)	0.34 (0.05)

\* Age of Selvagens archipelago

## STAR METHODS

### CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Luis Valente (luis.valente@mfn-berlin.de).

### EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

#### Sampling overview

We downloaded cytochrome-*b* (*cyt-b*) sequences from 1,001 individuals from a total of 397 species of Macaronesian taxa and their closest continental relatives from Genbank. In addition, we produced new sequences from 99 fresh samples from 44 species collected in Macaronesia, Europe and North Africa (Table S1). We cover 27 new Macaronesian colonization events that had never before been sampled and greatly expand the sampling of continental relatives.

#### Taxon sampling

Our sampling focuses on the native resident terrestrial birds from the four main archipelagos that make up Macaronesia – Azores, Canary Islands, Cape Verde, Madeira (Figure 1, Table S2). We based the taxon lists for each of the archipelagos on recent checklists (Refs [34–36], Avibase (<http://avibase.bsc-eoc.org/>) and African Bird Club (<https://www.africanbirdclub.org/>). For each taxon from each archipelago we aimed to sample individuals from the archipelago as well as from the taxon's closest relatives outside the

archipelago. If the taxon was a species endemic to the archipelago, we sampled multiple individuals from that species, as well as from the most closely related species as identified based on available phylogenetic or taxonomic information. If the taxon was not endemic, we sampled individuals from population(s) of the archipelago as well as populations of the species from nearby regions (either from other archipelago or from the continent). The vast majority of Macaronesian birds have a Palearctic origin [9], and we thus focused our sampling from outside Macaronesia on the closest mainland regions in Africa and Europe, with particular focus on the Iberian Peninsula and Morocco.

The small archipelago of Selvagens is also part of Macaronesia but only one taxon of our focal group has colonized the islands (*Anthus berthelotti*). We exclude this archipelago from the main analyses because, given its very small area, extinction rates are likely high and colonization rates low, and thus this data point would potentially add more noise than power to the analyses. However, we did sample *Anthus berthelotti* individuals from Selvagens and we provide the estimated age of colonization of this species in the results for reference (Table S2).

## METHOD DETAILS

### DNA sequences

We conducted analyses using the mitochondrial cytochrome-*b* gene (*cyt-b*) because 1) *cyt-b* is considered a reliable marker for use as molecular clock, as heterogeneity in its substitution rate has been shown to be very low across avian lineages [37,38]; 2) the gene is the most widely used sequenced marker in avian studies and sequences are available from previous studies for the majority of our target taxa; 3) previous studies of Macaronesian birds have

found concordance between colonization time estimates obtained using only *cyt-b* and using multiple markers [39,40]; 4) using other less-commonly used markers would have required obtaining hundreds of additional bird DNA samples.

Although our age estimates are based on a single mitochondrial marker we believe that the inclusion of multiple markers would not significantly alter our age estimates – indeed, a recent phylogenomic study of blue tits (*Cyanistes*) from the Canary Islands found that a multi-marker dataset did not yield significantly different colonization times from those previously obtained using cytochrome-*b* [39,40]. As our approach uses bird sequences from multiple studies we decided to favor larger taxonomic sampling over greater genetic coverage, and in this respect our dataset is unique among phylogenetic syntheses of island birds in its taxonomic completeness. Focusing on a single well-understood marker with the most well-established molecular clock in birds [37,38] allowed us to obtain relative ages that are comparable across taxa and avoid issues associated with calibration in the absence of fossils.

### **Sequence data: Genbank**

We used Geneious 8 [41] to conduct an extensive search of Genbank for *cyt-b* sequences of Macaronesian and non-Macaronesian taxa fitting the criteria described in the previous section. We also downloaded sequences from outgroup taxa, selecting the sequences with the top similarity scores in the output of BLAST queries. In total, we downloaded 1,001 *cyt-b* sequences from Genbank, including 397 species across 76 genera and 43 of the independent colonization events. The availability of *cyt-b* sequences in Genbank varied greatly according to taxon. For some taxa, sequences from both archipelago and close relatives from outside the archipelago were already available (e.g. from detailed phylogenetic/phylogeographic analyses, such as *Serinus canarius* [42] and *Sylvia spp.* [43]). In other cases, the target species

had been sampled, but only from the continent and not from Macaronesia (e.g. *Alaemon alaudipes*, *Emberiza calandra*, *Motacilla cinerea*). In others, the sampling of the continental relatives was very poor or only from very distant regions (e.g. *Eremopterix nigriceps*, *Streptopelia decaocto*, *Turdus merula*). Finally, for two species there were no previous *cyt-b* sequences available on Genbank (*Corvus ruficollis*, *Passer iagoensis*). For one of the species, *Sturnus vulgaris*, *cyt-b* sequences were not available in Genbank from target populations. However, many NADH dehydrogenase 2 (ND2) sequences were available for this species, and we therefore used this marker for this species. Genbank accession numbers and geographical origin for all downloaded sequences are available on the maximum clade credibility trees deposited online.

#### **Sequence data: new sequences**

Overall, sequences available on Genbank covered only 55% of the extant Macaronesia independent colonization events. We thus aimed to substantially improve the sampling by producing new sequences for several Macaronesian taxa and their close relatives from continental regions. We focused on the Macaronesian archipelagos as well as in the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa. New samples were obtained during field trips conducted by JCI between 2008 and 2016 to the Azores, Canary Islands, Cape Verde, Madeira, Selvagens, Iberian Peninsula and Morocco. Individuals were captured using mist-nets or spring traps baited with larvae. Blood samples (c. 40  $\mu$ L) were taken by brachial venipuncture, diluted in ethanol in a microfuge tube and stored at room temperature. Birds were released at the point of capture. Further samples were obtained from: Alex Tavares (Cape Verde); Ángel Moreno David P. Padilla, and Mariano Hernández (Canary Islands); J.L. Tella (Iberian Peninsula,



Morocco, Mauritania), and Guillermo López (Iberian Peninsula). Sample information and Genbank accession numbers for all new specimens are provided in Table S1.

DNA was extracted from blood samples using Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kits (Qiagen, Inc., USA). The *cyt-b* region was amplified using the following primers: L14841 (AAA AAG CTT CCA TCC AAC ATC TCA GCA TGA TGA AA) [44]; L14995 (GCC CCA TCC AAC ATC TCA GCA TGA TGA AAC TTC CG) [45]; L15308 (GGC TAT GTC CTC CCA TGA GGC CAA AT); H15767 (ATG AAG GGA TGT TCT ACT GGT TG) [46]; H15917 (TAG TTG GCC AAT GAT GAT GAA TGG GTG TTC TAC TGG TT) [45] and H16065 (GAG TCT TCA GTC TCT GGT TTA CAA GAC) [47]. For species of *Motacilla*, *Passer* and *Petronia* we found that the above primers also amplified nuclear mitochondrial DNA segments (NUMTs). In order to avoid NUMTs, we designed the following new primers that were specific to mitochondrial *cyt-b* copies: L-cytB\_Passer (CAC AGG CCT AAT TAA AGC CTA CCT), H-cytB\_Passer (TTG ARA ATG CCA GCT TTG GGA G, L-cytB-Mot (CCA AAT YGT TAC AGG MCT CCT G), H-cytB-Mot (GGT GAA TGA GGC TAG TTG CCCA).

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were set up in 25 µl total volumes including 5 µl of buffer MyTaq, 1 µL (10 µM) of each primer, and 0.12 µl MyTaq polymerase. PCRs were performed with the following thermocycler conditions: initial denaturation at 95° C for 1 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95° C for 20 s, with an annealing temperature of 48 °C for 20 s, and extension at 72 °C for 15 s min and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Amplified products were purified using Exonuclease I and Antarctic Phosphatase, and sequenced at the University of Potsdam (Unit of Evolutionary Biology/Systematic Zoology) on an ABI PRISM 3130xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems) using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). We used Geneious 8 to edit chromatograms and align sequences. Alignment was unambiguous in all cases.

In total, we added 99 new *cyt-b* sequences from 44 different species, covering an additional 27 Macaronesian colonization events that had never before been sampled. The new sequences increase the sampling of *cyt-b* for extant colonization events from the existing 55% (43/78 colonization events) to 90% (70/78). We also substantially increased sampling of continental relatives, adding 39 new *cyt-b* sequences from the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa, covering 28 species.

### Phylogenetic analyses

In order to estimate the times of colonization and speciation of Macaronesian birds, we produced dated phylogenetic trees in BEAST 2 [48]. We produced an alignment for each genus, with the exception of the following genera, which were combined into a single alignment: *Columba* and *Streptopelia*, because they are the only genera from order Columbiformes in our analyses; *Passer* and *Petronia* because they belong to sister clades; the five genera of the lark family Alaudidae (*Alaemon*, *Alauda*, *Ammomanes*, *Calandrella* and *Eremopterix*) because they were recently analysed in a family-wide phylogenetic analysis [49]. In total we produced and analysed 25 alignments leading to 25 phylogenies (trees deposited Mendeley Data). For each alignment we performed substitution model selection in jModeltest [50] using the Bayesian information criterion (models for each alignment available in Mendeley Data).

We used rates of molecular evolution for avian *cyt-b* sequences, which have been shown to evolve in a clock-like fashion at an average rate of  $\sim 2\%$  per Myr [37]. Recent analyses have confirmed the suitability of *cyt-b* as a molecular clock in birds [38]. We used the average *cyt-b* molecular clock rate for the relevant bird order estimated by [37]: Passeriformes – 2.07% (0.01035 substitutions per site per Myr); Columbiformes 1.96%

(0.0098); and Piciformes - 3.30% (0.0165). We applied a Bayesian uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model. For each analysis, we ran four independent chains of 10 million generations, with a birth-death tree prior. Convergence of chains and appropriate burn-ins were assessed with Tracer and maximum clade credibility trees with mean node heights were produced in Tree Annotator. We produced 25 maximum clade credibility trees (deposited in Mendeley Data) which were used to extract branching times for island species. Data points from taxa of the same archipelago were then assembled together into archipelago-specific datasets which were analyzed with DAISIE.

### **Colonization times**

For the majority of colonization events, we sampled two or more individuals from each archipelago (Table S2). In most of these cases, the individuals from the same archipelago formed a monophyletic clade, and we used the stem age of this clade as the time of colonization. For 20 colonization events – all of which corresponded to non-endemic species - the multiple individuals from the same archipelago were embedded in a well-supported clade (PP > 0.99) containing other individuals from that species from other regions. Most of these groupings were young (average age 530,000 years), and within-clade resolution was very poor. We therefore assume that the fact that the individuals do not form a distinctive clade is due to incomplete lineage sorting or insufficient phylogenetic information rather than evidence for multiple colonization events by that species. For all such cases we took the age of the most recent common ancestor of the clade containing the individuals from the same archipelago as a maximum age of colonization, and applied the “Non\_endemic\_MaxAge” option in DAISIE, which integrates over the possible colonization times between the present and the upper bound.

For eight of the extant colonization events, no sequences of individuals from the archipelago were available on Genbank and we were not able to obtain samples for new sequencing. However, for these cases we sampled individuals from the same species from different archipelagos and/or from the mainland, and we thus used the MRCA of these individuals as an upper bound for the age of the colonization event, using again the “Non\_endemic\_MaxAge” option in DAISIE.

### **Treatment of extinct species**

Thirteen taxa have gone extinct from Macaronesia (Table 1 and S2), and their extinction has been linked to human activities [9,51]. As anthropogenic extinctions do not count towards the natural background rate of extinction, we explicitly include these species in the analyses, treating them as though they had survived until the present following the approach of Valente *et al.* [10]. Of the 13 extinct species, two taxa have been extirpated from the islands but are still extant elsewhere (the wood pigeon from Madeira, and the alpine chough from the Canary Islands). We included these extirpated species in the phylogenetic analyses mentioned above because we sampled sequences from extant populations from other regions and were able to place an upper bound on the time of colonization. From the taxa that have gone completely extinct we were able to obtain samples from an extinct population of the lesser short-toed lark (*Calandrella rufescens rufescens*) from the Canary Islands.

The remaining ten extinct species (Table S2) are only known from fossils or subfossils and we were therefore not able to obtain sequences from them. The ten species were endemic to the archipelago where they occurred and were not closely related to extant species, having most likely resulted from independent colonization events. In order to incorporate these taxa into the analyses we modified the DAISIE framework to allow for a new type of data point

corresponding to unsampled endemic species. Given that the age of colonization of these extinct species is unknown, the method assumes they could have colonized anytime between the maximum age of the archipelago and the present. We ran DAISIE analyses including and excluding extinct species and found that the main results were not affected – we thus report only the results including extinct species.

## QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

### DAISIE analyses

DAISIE is a dynamic stochastic island biogeography model [5]. The general DAISIE framework [4,5] assumes that each species on the mainland (source pool) is equally likely to colonize the island, at a per lineage rate  $\gamma$  (which applies to the number of species on the mainland). Colonization includes both dispersal and successful establishment. Each species present on the island is equally likely to go extinct, at a per lineage rate of  $\mu$ . Island endemic species can be gained through speciation via anagenesis (where an island population diverges through time and becomes reproductively isolated from the mainland source population, without increase in island species diversity), which occurs at a per lineage rate  $\lambda^a$ ; or via cladogenesis (where one island taxon splits into two island endemic species), which occurs at a per lineage rate  $\lambda^c$ . In models including diversity-dependence (M42-M48 and M50 in Table S5),  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda^c$  decline linearly with the number of species on the island, depending on  $K'$ , the maximum number of niches on the island that could be attained in the absence of extinction.

We developed a new multi-archipelago version of DAISIE that allows different archipelagos to share all or some macroevolutionary rates. This leads to substantial increase in the number of potential data points used for maximum likelihood optimization, and allows us

to test whether rates differ between archipelagos. We used this updated version of the DAISIE R package to estimate archipelago-wide diversification and biogeographical rates. We fitted and compared a large set of candidate models that differed in the number of parameters shared between archipelagos (Tables S4 and S5). We estimated the following parameters: rate of colonization ( $\gamma$ ), extinction ( $\mu$ ), speciation via cladogenesis ( $\lambda^c$ ), speciation via anagenesis ( $\lambda^a$ ) and diversity-limits ( $K'$ ). Model parameters were estimated via maximum likelihood by fitting models to the times of colonization and branching for each of the archipelagos.

We assumed a static mainland pool size of 300 species, approximately the number of species of our target group found in Europe and North Africa. Mainland pool size affects DAISIE estimates of colonization rate, which decline with increasing pool size, but not the other rates (extinction, cladogenesis and anagenesis).

We used the following published geological ages for the archipelagos: Azores - 6.3 Myr [52]; Canary Islands – 21 Myr [53]; Cape Verde - 15.8 Myr [54]; Madeira – 18.8 Myr [55]. We fitted models to a consensus data set representing the colonization and branching times obtained in the maximum clade credibility trees from BEAST 2 and including extinct species. For each model, we ran maximum likelihood optimisations with 20 different, random, initial starting conditions to ensure searches were not trapped on local suboptima.

Model comparison was done using BIC, because in DAISIE this criterion has lower error rates [5] and penalizes more complex models. The preferred model using BIC was M17 (discussed in the main text), but two other models – M15 and M24 – also carry a large proportion of BIC weight. M15 has an additional anagenesis parameter for the Canary Islands, which is lower than on the other archipelagos; M24 has a single cladogenesis parameter applying to all archipelagos. Our main conclusions – that the four archipelagos are at equilibrium and that the Canaries exhibit exceptional dynamics – are supported by all three models, and thus we focus on the results of M17 as this model has one parameter less than the

second best model (M15), it was marginally preferred using BIC in the main analyses and strongly preferred in the analyses assuming a ‘phylogenetic’ taxonomic scheme (BIC weight for M17 was 0.74, versus 0.02 for M15 and 0.09 for M24) .

We assessed bias and precision of the ML inferences using a parametric bootstrap approach (Figure S2). We simulated 1,000 data sets for each archipelago with the parameters of the M17 model and then estimated the ML parameters from each of the simulated data sets and compared them with the simulated values.

## **Equilibrium**

We simulated islands with the ML parameters of the preferred models for each archipelago. For each model, we simulated 5,000 island biota from the birth of the archipelago to the present (Figure 3). This enabled us to assess visually whether the number of species has achieved an asymptotic value, i.e. equilibrium. We additionally used a deterministic equation available within the DAISIE package [10] to calculate the expected total species diversity at equilibrium for each of the archipelagos. The expected number of species at equilibrium is 46 species for the Canary Islands and 14 species each for Azores, Cape Verde and Madeira. Because equilibrium is dynamic, species richness stochastically fluctuates around these values [5]. Therefore, at a particular point in time there can be diversity undershoots (e.g. Cape Verde) or overshoots (e.g. Madeira) with respect to the equilibrium value (Figure 3).

Recent extinctions caused by humans have affected how distant the system is to the theoretical equilibrium [10] – for example, as a result of the loss of seven species from the Canary Islands by extinction, contemporary diversity (42 species) is currently below equilibrium (46 species), whereas pre-human diversity was actually above equilibrium (49 species) (Figure 3).

The rate of colonization can affect the rate of approach to equilibrium of non-endemic species (i.e. equilibrium in the number of non-endemics is reached more rapidly under higher colonization), but does not influence equilibrium in endemic species, which in diversity-independent models is determined by the rate of cladogenesis and extinction (see equations in [10]). Because in the preferred model extinction is estimated to be (much) higher than cladogenesis, the time to reach equilibrium in the total number of species is solely determined by extinction – i.e. higher rates of colonization would not lead to equilibrium being reached more quickly.

### **Taxonomy and species status**

The taxonomy and status (endemic/non-endemic) of many Macaronesian taxa is ‘work in progress’ by the ornithological community, as more morphological and molecular studies become available [36,56]. To account for taxonomic uncertainty, we analysed datasets assuming three alternative classification schemes: 1) ‘conservative’ classification, based on the Clements checklist [57], which does not confer species status to many Macaronesian endemic taxa; 2) ‘current’, which takes into account recent molecular and morphological results from Macaronesian bird studies [36]; and 3) ‘phylogenetic’, under which we consider as endemic species cases where a taxon from an archipelago forms a well-supported monophyletic group older than 1 Myr on our maximum credibility BEAST trees. The taxa for which alternative taxonomies were used are shown in Table S6. The preferred DAISIE model under the ‘current’ and ‘phylogenetic’ classification schemes was the M17 model (Table S5 and Mendeley Data, <http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/r9wt6x7d92.1>), whereas for the ‘conservative’ scheme the preferred models were M43 and M44, which show very similar BIC weights (Mendeley Data, <http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/r9wt6x7d92.1>). M43 is a diversity-dependent



version of M17. M44 is similar to M43 but the Canary Islands differ only in the rate of colonization; the rate of cladogenesis is equal and non-zero across all archipelagos (Table S4). Because the ‘conservative’ scheme is not up to date with recent findings, and because the ‘phylogenetic’ scheme is too liberal, we favour the ‘current’ scheme. In any case, the results for the three alternative classification schemes are fully in agreement with respect to our main conclusions (equilibrium in all four archipelagos has been achieved, homogeneity in rates across archipelagos and the Canary Islands has decoupled dynamics) and therefore in the main text we discuss only the results of the ‘current’ classification scheme. The results of the optimizations of the 50 candidate models for the ‘conservative’ and ‘phylogenetic’ schemes have been deposited in Mendeley Data whereas those for the ‘current’ scheme are provided in Table S5.

## DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The new **DNA sequences** produced in this study were deposited in Genbank. Accession numbers are given in Table S1.

The **25 maximum credibility trees from BEAST** are deposited in Mendeley Data: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/62p6fsnyfz.2>. These include Genbank numbers and geographical origin of all individuals as well as information on the models and molecular rates used to build each tree.

The results of the **optimizations of the 50 candidate models** for the ‘conservative’ and ‘phylogenetic’ schemes are deposited in Mendeley Data: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/r9wt6x7d92.1>

764

765 New computer code was implemented in a new version of **DAISIE R package** available in:

766 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DAISIE>

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
<b>Antibodies</b>		
<b>Bacterial and Virus Strains</b>		
<b>Biological Samples</b>		
Avian blood samples used for DNA isolation	This paper	Table S1
<b>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</b>		
DNeasy®Blood & Tissue Kit	Qiagen	Cat#69506
MyTaq™ DNA Polymerase	Bioline GmbH	Cat#BIO-21107
Exonuclease I	New England Biolabs GmbH	Cat#M0293L
Antarctic Phosphatase	New England Biolabs GmbH	Cat#M0289L
BigDye™ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit	ThermoFisher Scientific – Applied Biosystems	Cat#4337455
<b>Critical Commercial Assays</b>		
<b>Deposited Data</b>		
New cytochrome- <i>b</i> sequences	This paper	Genbank accession numbers in Table S1
25 Maximum clade credibility trees	This paper	Deposited in Mendeley Data: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/62p6fsnyfz.2">http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/62p6fsnyfz.2</a>
Optimizations of the 50 candidate models	This paper	Deposited in Mendeley Data, <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/r9wt6x7d92.1">http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/r9wt6x7d92.1</a>

<b>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</b>		
<b>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</b>		
<b>Oligonucleotides</b>		
L14841: AAAAAGCTTCCATCCAACATCTCAGCATGATGAAA	[44]	N/A
L14995:GCCCCATCCAACATCTCAGCATGATGAAAC TTCCG	[45]	N/A
H15767: ATGAAGGGATGTTCTACTGGTTG	[46]	N/A
H15917: TAGTTGGCCAATGATGATGAATGGGTGTTCTACTGG TT	[45]	N/A
H16065: GAGTCTTCAGTCTCTGGTTTACAAGAC	[47]	N/A
L-cytB_Passer: CACAGGCCTAATTAAAGCCTACCT	This paper	N/A
H-cytB_Passer: TTGARAATGCCAGCTTTGGGAG	This paper	N/A
L-cytB-Mot: CCAAATYGTTACAGGMCTCCTG	This paper	N/A
H-cytB-Mot: GGTGAATGAGGCTAGTTGCCCA	This paper	N/A
<b>Recombinant DNA</b>		
<b>Software and Algorithms</b>		
DAISIE R package v.1.4	This paper; [5]	<a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/DAISIE">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/DAISIE</a>
BEAST 2	[48]	<a href="http://www.beast2.org">www.beast2.org</a>
Geneious 8	[41]	<a href="http://www.geneious.com">www.geneious.com</a>
jModeltest 2.1.5	[50]	<a href="https://github.com/ddarriba/jmodeltest2">https://github.com/ddarriba/jmodeltest2</a>
<b>Other</b>		



Figure 1






Figure 2


### Cladogenesis



### Extinction



### Colonization



### Anagenesis







Figure 3







**Figure S1 - Distribution of times of colonization of the four archipelagos. Related to Figure 1.** Times of colonization obtained from the BEAST analyses.



**Figure S2 – Bootstrap precision estimates of the parameters of the M17 model. Related to Figure 2.** Parametric bootstrap analysis fitting the M17 model to 1,000 datasets simulated with maximum likelihood parameters of M17 model. Plots show frequency histograms of estimated parameters. Black lines show the median estimated values across all simulations and the arrows the simulated values. Background – indicates that the parameter is shared across all archipelagos (extinction and anagenesis) or most archipelagos (colonization).

**Table S1 – Sample information for all new samples sequenced for this study. Related to Figure 1 and STAR Methods.**

Genus	Species	Subspecies	Region	Locality	Collector	Code	Genbank accession #
<i>Acrocephalus</i>	<i>brevipennis</i>		Cape Verde	Santiago	J.C. Illera	L313_CV1	KY378711
<i>Alaemon</i>	<i>alaudipes</i>		Cape Verde	Maio	Alex Tavares	L578	KY378712
<i>Alaemon</i>	<i>alaudipes</i>		Morocco	Lago Ait-Serji	J.L. Tella	L586_V077710	KY378713
<i>Ammomanes</i>	<i>cinctura</i>		Cape Verde	Santiago	J.C. Illera	L316_CV20	KY378714
<i>Anthus</i>	<i>berthelotii</i>	<i>berthelotii</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L324_FV6	KY378716
<i>Anthus</i>	<i>campestris</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L360_PN442	KY378719
<i>Anthus</i>	<i>berthelotii</i>	<i>maderensis</i>	Madeira	Porto Santo	J.C. Illera	L367_AB814	KY378717
<i>Anthus</i>	<i>berthelotii</i>	<i>maderensis</i>	Madeira	Deserta Grande	J.C. Illera	L368_AB549	KY378718
<i>Anthus</i>	<i>berthelotii</i>		Selvagens	Selvagem Grande	J.C. Illera	L377_AB867	KY378715
<i>Bucanetes</i>	<i>githagineus</i>	<i>amantum</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L321_FV3	KY378721
<i>Bucanetes</i>	<i>githagineus</i>		Morocco	Lago Ait-Serji	J.L. Tella	L587_L955050	KY378720
<i>Calandrella</i>	<i>rufescens</i>	<i>polatzeki</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L326_FV8	KY378724
<i>Calandrella</i>	<i>rufescens</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Planerón	J.L. Tella	L341_IP1	KY378722
<i>Calandrella</i>	<i>rufescens</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Planerón	J.L. Tella	L590_N643392	KY378723
<i>Calandrella</i>	<i>rufescens</i>	<i>rufescens</i>	Canary Islands	Captive / Tenerife	Mariano Hernández	L591	KY378725
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>cannabina</i>	<i>harteri</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L325_FV7	KY378728
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>carduelis</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Córdoba	J.C. Illera	L345_IP5	KY378731
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>cannabina</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L350_PN1316	KY378726
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>chloris</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L359_PN357	KY378733
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>chloris</i>		Morocco	Ain-El-Hallouf	J.C. Illera	L374_MO6	KY378734
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>cannabina</i>		Morocco	Ain-El-Hallouf	J.C. Illera	L375_MO7	KY378727
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>cannabina</i>	<i>meadewaldoi</i>	Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L379_Va2	KY378730
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>carduelis</i>		Canary Islands	La Gomera	J.C. Illera	L380_Va3	KY378732
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>chloris</i>		Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L382_Va5	KY378735
<i>Carduelis</i>	<i>cannabina</i>	<i>harteri</i>	Canary Islands	Lanzarote	J.C. Illera	L390_Va13	KY378729
<i>Columba</i>	<i>livia</i>		Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L323_FV5	KY378736
<i>Columba</i>	<i>livia</i>		Azores	Graciosa	J.C. Illera	L391_Coli1	KY378737
<i>Columba</i>	<i>livia</i>		Azores	Graciosa	J.C. Illera	L392_Coli2	KY378738
<i>Columba</i>	<i>livia</i>		Cape Verde	Cape Verde	Alex Tavares	L579	KY378739
<i>Corvus</i>	<i>ruficollis</i>		Cape Verde	Maio	Alex Tavares	L592_Corvo220	KY378740
<i>Cyanistes</i>	<i>teneriffae</i>	<i>palmensis</i>	Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L332_PN496	KY378743
<i>Cyanistes</i>	<i>teneriffae</i>	<i>degener</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L338_FV1	KY378742

<i>Cyanistes</i>	<i>caeruleus</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L357_PN254	KY378741
<i>Dendrocopos</i>	<i>major</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L349_PN1295	KY378744
<i>Emberiza</i>	<i>calandra</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Lumbrals	G. López	L344_IP4	KY378745
<i>Emberiza</i>	<i>calandra</i>		Canary Islands	Tenerife	D.P. Padilla	L581_V021108	KY378746
<i>Eremopterix</i>	<i>nigriceps</i>		Cape Verde	Santiago	J.C. Illera	L315_CV19	KY378747
<i>Eremopterix</i>	<i>nigriceps</i>		Cape Verde	Cape Verde	Alex Tavares	L576	KY378748
<i>Eremopterix</i>	<i>nigriceps</i>		Mauritania	El Metreoka	J.L. Tella	L588_45	KY378749
<i>Erithacus</i>	<i>rubecula</i>	<i>rubecula</i>	Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L330_PN492	KY378751
<i>Erithacus</i>	<i>rubecula</i>		Madeira	Santa Pico Alto	J.C. Illera	L558_MADE05	KY378750
<i>Fringilla</i>	<i>coelebs</i>	<i>palmae</i>	Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L331_PN493	KY378753
<i>Fringilla</i>	<i>coelebs</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L352_PN157	KY378752
<i>Fringilla</i>	<i>coelebs</i>		Morocco	Ifrane	J.C. Illera	L369_MO1	KY378754
<i>Lanius</i>	<i>meridionalis</i>	<i>koenigi</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L322_FV4	KY378755
<i>Motacilla</i>	<i>cinerea</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	G. López	L358_PN325	KY378756
<i>Motacilla</i>	<i>cinerea</i>	<i>patriciae</i>	Azores	Terceira	J.C. Illera	L378_Va1	KY378761
<i>Motacilla</i>	<i>cinerea</i>	<i>canariensis</i>	Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L381_Va4	KY378760
<i>Motacilla</i>	<i>cinerea</i>		Madeira	Lombo do Baixo, Faial	J.C. Illera	L560_MADE74	KY378757
<i>Motacilla</i>	<i>cinerea</i>		Morocco	Morocco	J.C. Illera	L582_0001213	KY378758
<i>Motacilla</i>	<i>cinerea</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L583_PN325	KY378759
<i>Passer</i>	<i>iagoensis</i>		Cape Verde	Santiago	J.C. Illera	L314_CV10	KY378765
<i>Passer</i>	<i>hispaniolensis</i>		Cape Verde	Santiago	J.C. Illera	L317_CV6	KY378762
<i>Passer</i>	<i>hispaniolensis</i>		Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L320_FV2	KY378763
<i>Passer</i>	<i>montanus</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Madrid	J.C. Illera	L343_IP3	KY378767
<i>Passer</i>	<i>hispaniolensis</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Córdoba	J.C. Illera	L346_IP6	KY378764
<i>Passer</i>	<i>iagoensis</i>		Cape Verde	Maio	A. Tavares	L577	KY378766
<i>Passer</i>	<i>montanus</i>		Canary Islands	Gran Canaria	A. Moreno	L593_L555950	KY378768
<i>Petronia</i>	<i>petronia</i>	<i>petronia</i>	Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L383_Va6	KY378769
<i>Phylloscopus</i>	<i>canariensis</i>		Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L333_PN611	KY378770
<i>Phylloscopus</i>	<i>collybita</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Lumbrals	J.C. Illera	L339_GUI1	KY378771
<i>Phylloscopus</i>	<i>ibericus</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Trassierra	J.C. Illera	L340_GUI7	KY378772
<i>Pyrrhonorax</i>	<i>pyrrhonorax</i>		Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L384_Va7	KY378773
<i>Pyrrhula</i>	<i>pyrrhula</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Picos de Europa	J.C. Illera	L361_PN63	KY378774
<i>Regulus</i>	<i>regulus</i>	<i>ellenthalerae</i>	Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L335_PN654	KY378777

<i>Regulus</i>	<i>ignicapillus</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L348_PN1226	KY378775
<i>Regulus</i>	<i>regulus</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Picos de Europa	J.C. Illera	L353_PN1918	KY378776
<i>Saxicola</i>	<i>dacotiae</i>	<i>dacotiae</i>	Canary Islands	Fuerteventura	J.C. Illera	L336_Sax 911	KY378778
<i>Saxicola</i>	<i>torquata</i>	<i>rubicola</i>	Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L354_PN200	KY378779
<i>Serinus</i>	<i>canarius</i>		Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L334_PN645	KY378780
<i>Serinus</i>	<i>serinus</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L355_PN207	KY378782
<i>Serinus</i>	<i>canarius</i>		Madeira	Porto Santo	J.C. Illera	L365_SC 444	KY378781
<i>Serinus</i>	<i>serinus</i>		Morocco	Ain-El-Hallouf	J.C. Illera	L373_MO5	KY378783
<i>Serinus</i>	<i>serinus</i>		Canary Islands	Gran Canaria	J.C. Illera	L385_Va8	KY378784
<i>Streptopelia</i>	<i>turtur</i>		Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L386_Va9	KY378787
<i>Streptopelia</i>	<i>decaocto</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Málaga	G. López	L575	KY378785
<i>Streptopelia</i>	<i>decaocto</i>		Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L589_Va10	KY378786
<i>Streptopelia</i>	<i>turtur</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Andújar (Jaén)	G. López	L594	KY378788
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>atricapilla</i>		Cape Verde	Santiago	J.C. Illera	L318_CV7	KY378789
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>		Cape Verde	Maio	J.C. Illera	L319_SC272	KY378792
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>atricapilla</i>	<i>heineken</i>	Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L328_PN488	KY378791
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>melanocephalaleucogastra</i>		Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L329_PN491	KY378799
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>	<i>orbitalis</i>	Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L337_SC61	KY378796
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>melanocephala</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L351_PN155	KY378797
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>	Iberian Peninsula	Granada	J.C. Illera	L363_SC133	KY378794
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>		Madeira	Porto Santo	J.C. Illera	L364_SC 403	KY378793
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>atricapilla</i>		Madeira	Porto Santo	J.C. Illera	L366_SC 445	KY378790
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>melanocephala</i>		Morocco	Ain-El-Hallouf	J.C. Illera	L372_MO4	KY378798
<i>Sylvia</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>	<i>conspicillata</i>	Morocco	Ifrane	J.C. Illera	L376_SC8	KY378795
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Canary Islands	La Palma	J.C. Illera	L327_PN472	KY378800
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L347_PN1086	KY378801
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Morocco	Ain-El-Hallouf	J.C. Illera	L370_MO2	KY378802
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Madeira	Santa Pico Alto	J.C. Illera	L559_MADE08	KY378803
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Azores	Pico	J.C. Illera	L603_T1	KY378804
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Azores	Terceira	J.C. Illera	L604_T2	KY378805
<i>Turdus</i>	<i>merula</i>		Azores	Terceira	J.C. Illera	L605_T3	KY378806
<i>Upupa</i>	<i>epops</i>		Iberian Peninsula	Sierra Nevada	J.C. Illera	L342_IP2	KY378807
<i>Upupa</i>	<i>epops</i>		Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L388_Va11	KY378808
<i>Upupa</i>	<i>epops</i>		Canary Islands	Tenerife	J.C. Illera	L389_Va12	KY378809

---

**Table S2 – Colonization events of Macaronesia for all the taxa in our focal group. Related to Figure 1.**  
Including extinct species. Times of colonization taken from the maximum clade credibility trees from BEAST.

Archipelago	Taxon	Status	Colonization time (Myr)	95% CI (Myr)	Topology	Node used for age	Fig. 1
Azores	<i>Fringilla moreletii</i>	Endemic	1.34	0.91 - 1.8	A	St	1
Azores	<i>Pyrrhula murina</i>	Endemic	1.08	0.50 - 1.85	A	St	2
Azores	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	Non endemic	0.75	0.32 - 1.13	B	Mrca	3
Azores	<i>Turdus merula azorensis</i>	Non endemic	0.493	0.27 - 0.74	B	Mrca	4
Azores	<i>Regulus regulus</i>	Non endemic	0.49	0.26 - 0.75	A	St	5
Azores	<i>Carduelis carduelis</i>	Non endemic	0.44	0.14 - 0.82	D	Cr	6
Azores	<i>Sylvia atricapilla atlantis</i>	Non endemic	0.43	0.18 - 0.73	B	Mrca	7
Azores	<i>Motacilla cinerea</i>	Non endemic	0.38	0.10 - 0.71	C	Mrca	8
Azores	<i>Columba livia atlantis</i>	Non endemic	0.29	0.13 - 0.47	A	St	9
Azores	<i>Serinus canarius</i>	Macaronesian endemic	0.25	0.10 - 0.43	A	St	10
Azores	<i>Columba palumbus azorica</i>	Non endemic	0.21	0.07 - 0.38	B	Mrca	11
Azores	<i>Sturnus vulgaris granti</i>	Non endemic	0.12	0.03 - 0.26	A	St	12
Azores	<i>Pyrrhula</i> spp. †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Azores	Undescribed taxon aff. family Troglodytidae †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Azores	<i>Turdus</i> spp. 1 †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Canary Isl.	<i>Columba junoniae</i>	Endemic	8.54	6.49 - 10.98	A	St	13
Canary Isl.	<i>Fringilla teydea / polatzeki</i>	Endemic	3.01	2.15 - 3.87	A	St	14
Canary Isl.	<i>Erithacus rubecula marionae</i>	Non endemic	2.95	1.46 - 4.59	A	St	15
Canary Isl.	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae palmensis</i>	Endemic	2.4	1.35 - 3.44	A	St	16
Canary Isl.	<i>Phylloscopus canariensis</i>	Endemic	2.28	1.59 - 3.02	A	St	17
Canary Isl.	<i>Anthus berthelotii</i>	Macaronesian endemic	2.2	1.42 - 3.07	A	St	18
Canary Isl.	<i>Erithacus rubecula superbis</i>	Non endemic	2.17	0.99 - 3.25	A	St	19
Canary Isl.	<i>Columba bollii</i>	Endemic	2.14	1.42- 2.91	A	St	20
Canary Isl.	<i>Saxicola dacotiae</i>	Endemic	1.95	1.29 - 2.62	A	St	21
Canary Isl.	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae Central group</i>	Endemic	1.66	1.03 - 2.32	A	St	22
Canary Isl.	<i>Regulus regulus teneriffae</i>	Non endemic	1.61	1.08 - 2.14	A	St	23
Canary Isl.	<i>Regulus regulus ellenthalerae</i>	Non endemic	1.33	0.87 - 1.82	A	St	24
Canary Isl.	<i>Passer hispaniolensis</i>	Non endemic	1.27	0.73 - 1.84	B	Mrca	25
Canary Isl.	<i>Dendrocopos major</i>	Non endemic	0.98	0.21 - 2.25	A	St	26
Canary Isl.	<i>Fringilla canariensis</i>	Endemic	0.93	0.57 - 1.32	A	St	27
Canary Isl.	<i>Calandrella rufescens rufescens</i> †	Extirpated	0.79	0.25 - 1.52	C	Tip	28
Canary Isl.	<i>Erithacus rubecula rubecula</i>	Non endemic	0.75	0.32 - 1.13	B	Mrca	29
Canary Isl.	<i>Bucanetes githagineus</i>	Non endemic	0.72	0.34 - 1.16	B	Mrca	30

Canary Isl.	<i>Pyrrhonorax graculus</i> †	Extirpated	0.66	0.09 - 1.27	D	Cr	31
Canary Isl.	<i>Corvus corax</i>	Non endemic	0.66	0.34 - 1.03	B	Mrca	32
Canary Isl.	<i>Columba livia</i>	Non endemic	0.63	0.30 - 1.07	C	Mrca	33
Canary Isl.	<i>Sylvia melanocephala</i>	Non endemic	0.62	0.32 - 0.97	B	Mrca	34
Canary Isl.	<i>Sylvia conspicillata</i>	Non endemic	0.57	0.27 - 0.95	B	Mrca	35
Canary Isl.	<i>Sylvia atricapilla</i>	Non endemic	0.43	0.18 - 0.73	B	Mrca	36
Canary Isl.	<i>Lanius meridionalis</i>	Non endemic	0.39	0.20 - 0.62	B	Mrca	37
Canary Isl.	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Non endemic	0.38	0.20 - 0.60	D	Cr	38
Canary Isl.	<i>Motacilla cinerea</i>	Non endemic	0.38	0.10 - 0.71	C	Mrca	39
Canary Isl.	<i>Serinus canarius</i>	Macaronesian endemic	0.32	0.12 - 0.56	B	Mrca	40
Canary Isl.	<i>Pyrrhonorax pyrrhonorax</i>	Non endemic	0.31	0.02 - 0.90	C	Tip	41
Canary Isl.	<i>Carduelis cannabina</i>	Non endemic	0.3	0.08 - 0.56	B	Mrca	42
Canary Isl.	<i>Petronia petronia</i>	Non endemic	0.25	0.03 - 0.51	C	Tip	43
Canary Isl.	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae</i> East	Non endemic	0.25	0.09 - 0.42	B	Mrca	44
Canary Isl.	<i>Carduelis carduelis</i>	Non endemic	0.2	0.03 - 0.41	A	St	45
Canary Isl.	<i>Carduelis chloris</i>	Non endemic	0.16	0.03 - 0.33	A	St	46
Canary Isl.	<i>Passer montanus</i>	Non endemic	0.14	0.004 - 0.3	C	Tip	47
Canary Isl.	<i>Calandrella rufescens polatzeki</i>	Non endemic	0.13	0.01 - 0.28	C	Tip	48
Canary Isl.	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	Non endemic	0.11	0.01 - 0.23	C	Tip	49
Canary Isl.	<i>Upupa epops</i>	Non endemic	0.09	0.003 - 0.22	A	St	50
Canary Isl.	<i>Turdus merula</i>	Non endemic	0.07	0 - 0.16	C	Tip	51
Canary Isl.	<i>Serinus serinus</i>	Non endemic	0.04	0 - 0.13	C	Tip	52
Canary Isl.	<i>Emberiza calandra</i>	Non endemic	0.04	0 - 0.12	C	Tip	53
Canary Isl.	<i>Carduelis aurelioi</i> †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Canary Isl.	<i>Carduelis triasi</i> †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Canary Isl.	<i>Emberiza alcoveri</i> †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Canary Isl.	<i>Erithacus</i> spp. †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Canary Isl.	<i>Turdus</i> spp. 2 †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Cape Verde	<i>Alauda razae</i>	Endemic	5.26	3.90 - 6.73	C	Tip	54
Cape Verde	<i>Passer iagoensis</i>	Endemic	4.38	3.10 - 5.86	A	St	55
Cape Verde	<i>Corvus ruficollis</i>	Non endemic	1.84	0.93 - 2.68	C	Tip	56
Cape Verde	<i>Columba livia</i>	Non endemic	0.63	0.30 - 1.07	C	Mrca	57
Cape Verde	<i>Sylvia conspicillata</i>	Non endemic	0.57	0.27 - 0.95	B	Mrca	58
Cape Verde	<i>Sylvia atricapilla</i>	Non endemic	0.43	0.18 - 0.73	C	Mrca	59
Cape Verde	<i>Eremopterix nigriceps</i>	Non endemic	0.27	0.07 - 0.50	A	St	60
Cape Verde	<i>Ammomanes cinctura</i>	Non endemic	0.23	0.07 - 0.42	C	Tip	61
Cape Verde	<i>Acrocephalus brevipennis</i>	Endemic	0.17	0.03 - 0.34	A	St	62
Cape Verde	<i>Alaemon alaudipes</i>	Non endemic	0.06	0 - 0.17	C	Tip	63
Madeira	<i>Regulus madeirensis</i>	Endemic	5.43	3.39 - 7.45	A	St	64
Madeira	<i>Columba trocaz</i>	Endemic	1.66	1.04 - 2.31	A	St	65
Madeira	<i>Fringilla madeirensis</i>	Endemic	1.1	0.73 - 1.51	A	St	66

Madeira	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	Non endemic	0.75	0.32 - 1.13	B	Mrca	67
Madeira	<i>Sylvia conspicillata</i>	Non endemic	0.57	0.27 - 0.95	C	Mrca	68
Madeira	<i>Carduelis carduelis</i>	Non endemic	0.44	0.14 - 0.71	D	Cr	69
Madeira	<i>Sylvia atricapilla</i>	Non endemic	0.43	0.18 - 0.73	B	Mrca	70
Madeira	<i>Motacilla cinerea</i>	Non endemic	0.38	0.10 - 0.71	C	Mrca	71
Madeira	<i>Carduelis chloris</i>	Non endemic	0.36	0.14 - 0.58	D	Cr	72
Madeira	<i>Anthus berthelotti</i>	Macaronesian endemic	0.34	0.13 - 0.58	B	Mrca	73
Madeira	<i>Serinus canarius</i>	Macaronesian endemic	0.32	0.12 - 0.56	B	Mrca	74
Madeira	<i>Carduelis cannabina</i>	Non endemic	0.3	0.08 - 0.56	D	Cr	75
Madeira	<i>Petronia petronia</i>	Non endemic	0.25	0.03 - 0.51	D	Cr	76
Madeira	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>	Non endemic	0.23	0.07 - 0.42	D	Cr	77
Madeira	<i>Columba palumbus</i> †	Extirpated	0.21	0.07 - 0.38	D	Cr	78
Madeira	<i>Upupa epops</i>	Non endemic	0.09	0.003 - 0.22	D	Cr	79
Madeira	<i>Turdus merula</i>	Non endemic	0.06	0 - 0.14	C	Tip	80
Madeira	Fringillidae spp. †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Madeira	<i>Turdus</i> spp. 3 †	Extinct	NA	NA	E	Na	-
Selvagens	<i>Anthus berthelotti</i>	Macaronesian endemic	0.34	0.13 - 0.58	C	Cr	-

---

A - Two or more individuals from archipelago sampled, archipelago individuals form monophyletic clade  
 B - Two or more individuals from archipelago sampled, archipelago individuals do not form exclusive clade  
 C - One individual sampled from archipelago  
 D - Species sampled, but from population outside the archipelago  
 E - Species not sampled in phylogeny  
 Cr - Crown age of species used  
 Mrca - Age of most recent common ancestor of clade containing the individuals from archipelago used  
 St - Stem age used  
 Tip - Age of tip of individual sample used  
 Na - Not available  
 † - Extinct/extirpated



**Table S3 – Cladogenetic events in Macaronesian birds. Related to Figure 1.** Branching times taken from the maximum clade credibility trees from BEAST.

Archipelago	Clade name	Species	Branching times (Myr)	95% CI, lower bound (Myr)	95% CI, upper bound(My)
Canary Islands	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae</i> (Central)	<i>C. teneriffae</i> , <i>C. hedwigae</i> , <i>C. ombriosus</i>	1.7, 1.1, 0.6	1.0, 0.7, 0.3	2.3, 1.7, 0.9
Canary Islands	<i>Fringilla</i>	<i>F. teydea</i> , <i>F. polatzeki</i>	3.0, 1.2	2.2, 0.7	3.9, 1.8



**Table S5– Maximum likelihood estimates. Related to Figure 2 and Mendeley Data.** Obtained by fitting the different multi-archipelago DAISIE models. Models are described in Table S4. Loglik – Log likelihood; BIC – Bayesian information criterion. \*M17 is the preferred model. Note that models M15 and M24 also carry important proportions of BIC weights.

					Background					Canary Islands					Cape Verde					Madeira					
Model	n pars	Loglik	BIC	BIC weights	$\lambda^c$	$\mu$	$K'$	$\gamma$	$\lambda^a$	$\lambda^c$	M	$K'$	$\gamma$	$\lambda^a$	$\lambda^c$	$\mu$	$K'$	$\gamma$	$\lambda^a$	$\lambda^c$	$\mu$	$K'$	$\gamma$	$\lambda^a$	
M1	4	-469.09	959.14	1.35E-05	0.11	1.11	-	0.08	0.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M2	5	-466.46	959.11	4.34E-06	0	1.08	-	0.08	0.49	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M3	6	-461.38	954.20	1.61E-05	0	1.08	-	0.09	0.49	0.19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M4	7	-454.35	945.37	4.18E-04	0.11	1.09	-	0.05	0.46	-	-	-	0.16	-	-	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	-	0.07	-	
M5	4	-466.46	953.88	1.89E-04	-	1.08	-	0.08	0.49	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M6	7	-456.48	949.63	4.97E-05	0.11	2.00	-	0.09	0.46	-	0.77	-	-	-	-	1.51	-	-	-	-	-	1.54	-	-	
M7	7	-453.46	943.59	1.02E-03	-	1.05	-	0.05	0.51	0.13	-	-	0.15	-	-	-	-	0.04	-	-	-	-	0.07	-	
M8	13	-446.78	961.66	1.22E-10	-	2.15	-	0.11	1.29	0.15	0.96	-	0.14	0.26	-	0.76	-	0.03	0.48	-	-	1.53	-	0.10	1.10
M9	10	-450.08	952.54	3.67E-07	-	2.00	-	0.10	0.54	0.11	0.93	-	0.14	-	-	0.76	-	0.03	-	-	-	1.47	-	0.09	-
M10	9	-450.40	947.95	1.15E-05	-	1.27	-	0.06	0.87	0.15	0.96	-	0.14	0.26	-	-	-	0.04	-	-	-	-	0.08	-	
M11	8	-450.63	943.18	3.97E-04	-	1.27	-	0.07	0.87	0.15	0.96	-	0.14	0.26	-	-	-	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M12	7	-451.87	940.42	4.98E-03	-	1.27	-	0.06	0.87	0.15	0.96	-	0.14	0.26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M13	6	-454.13	939.70	2.26E-02	-	1.24	-	0.06	0.52	0.11	0.93	-	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M14	6	-455.05	941.54	8.99E-03	-	1.55	-	0.09	0.91	0.12	0.77	-	-	0.28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M15	6	-452.59	936.62	1.05E-01	-	1.10	-	0.05	0.85	0.20	-	-	0.15	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M16	5	-457.41	941.02	3.69E-02	-	1.52	-	0.09	0.53	0.09	0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M17*	5	-454.93	936.06	4.40E-01	-	1.05	-	0.05	0.51	0.13	-	-	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M18	5	-463.09	952.37	1.27E-04	-	1.17	-	0.08	0.85	0.38	-	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M19	7	-452.80	942.27	1.97E-03	0.11	1.38	-	0.07	0.77	-	0.92	-	0.13	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M20	6	-454.20	939.83	2.12E-02	0.11	1.36	-	0.06	0.46	-	0.92	-	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M21	6	-455.60	942.64	5.20E-03	0.10	1.63	-	0.09	0.81	-	0.76	-	-	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M22	6	-454.62	940.67	1.39E-02	0.11	1.09	-	0.05	0.74	-	-	-	0.16	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M23	5	-457.15	940.50	4.78E-02	0.10	1.62	-	0.09	0.46	-	0.76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

M24	5	-455.82	937.84	1.81E-01	0.11	1.09	-	0.05	0.46	-	-	-	0.16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M25	5	-467.94	962.07	9.93E-07	0.12	1.11	-	0.08	0.73	-	-	-	-	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M26	6	-459.62	950.68	9.30E-05	0.37	1.64	-	0.07	-	-	1.16	-	0.15	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M27	5	-459.66	945.51	3.90E-03	0.38	1.65	-	0.07	-	-	1.18	-	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M28	5	-462.79	951.77	1.71E-04	0.35	1.89	-	0.10	-	-	0.99	-	-	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M29	5	-461.48	949.16	6.31E-04	0.37	1.35	-	0.06	-	-	-	-	0.18	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M30	4	-462.88	946.71	6.79E-03	0.38	1.92	-	0.10	-	-	1.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M31	4	-461.50	943.95	2.69E-02	0.39	1.36	-	0.06	-	-	-	-	0.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M32	4	-474.57	970.10	5.64E-08	0.37	1.37	-	0.09	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M33	3	-474.59	964.89	2.41E-06	0.39	1.38	-	0.09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M34	7	-457.28	951.24	2.23E-05	0.58	1.83	-	0.08	-	0.15	0.96	-	0.14	0.26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M35	6	-458.50	948.44	2.85E-04	0.58	1.83	-	0.08	-	0.30	1.10	-	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M36	6	-459.25	949.94	1.35E-04	0.63	2.08	-	0.10	-	0.13	0.81	-	-	0.28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M37	6	-461.37	954.18	1.62E-05	0.39	1.33	-	0.06	-	0.31	-	-	0.18	0.09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M38	5	-461.05	948.29	9.72E-04	0.64	2.12	-	0.10	-	0.28	0.94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M39	5	-461.47	949.13	6.39E-04	0.40	1.36	-	0.06	-	0.37	-	-	0.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M40	5	-473.96	974.11	2.41E-09	0.32	1.38	-	0.09	-	0.47	-	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M41	4	-473.96	968.87	1.05E-07	0.32	1.38	-	0.09	-	0.47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M42	7	-451.12	938.91	1.06E-02	-	1.11	2.64	0.06	0.85	0.38	-	-	0.16	0.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M43	6	-453.62	938.68	3.75E-02	-	1.06	2.71	0.05	0.51	0.22	-	-	0.16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M44	6	-454.46	940.36	1.62E-02	0.19	1.10	2.69	0.05	0.45	-	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M45	8	-450.47	942.85	4.67E-04	-	1.11	1.00	0.06	0.84	0.37	-	2.78	0.16	0.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M46	7	-452.96	942.59	1.68E-03	-	1.06	1.00	0.05	0.51	0.22	-	2.89	0.16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M47	5	-468.42	963.03	6.12E-07	0.17	1.11	3.11	0.08	0.45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M48	7	-452.96	942.59	1.68E-03	0.22	1.06	1.00	0.05	0.51	-	-	2.89	0.16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M49	16	-446.78	977.38	1.49E-15	0	2.15	-	0.11	1.29	0.15	0.96	-	0.14	0.26	0	0.76	-	0.03	0.48	0	1.53	-	0.10	1.10
M50	20	-444.64	994.05	3.56E-21	0.00	2.19	1.00	0.11	1.30	0.28	0.97	2.83	0.15	0.23	0.01	0.77	1.00	0.03	0.48	0.01	1.54	1.00	0.10	1.08

**Table S6 – Taxa for which three alternative classification schemes were used. Related to Figure 1.** The ‘conservative’ scheme is based on the Clements Checklist [S1]; the ‘current’ scheme is based on Illera *et al.* [S2] who reviewed latest taxonomic findings; the ‘phylogenetic’ scheme is similar to the ‘current’ scheme, but additionally treats as endemic species cases where a taxon from an archipelago forms a strongly supported monophyletic group older than 1 Myr in our BEAST trees.

Archipelago	‘Conservative’	‘Current’	‘Phylogenetic’
Canary Isl.	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Cyanistes palmensis</i> (endemic)	<i>Cyanistes palmensis</i> (endemic)
Canary Isl.	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae</i> Central group, (3 endemic species: <i>C. teneriffae</i> , <i>C. hedwigae</i> , <i>C. ombriosus</i> )	<i>Cyanistes teneriffae</i> Central group, (3 endemic species: <i>C. teneriffae</i> , <i>C. hedwigae</i> , <i>C. ombriosus</i> )
Canary Isl.	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Erithacus marionae</i> (endemic)
Canary Isl.	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Erithacus superbus</i> (endemic)
Madeira	<i>Fringilla coelebs</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Fringilla madeirensis</i> (endemic)	<i>Fringilla madeirensis</i> (endemic)
Azores	<i>Fringilla coelebs</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Fringilla moreletii</i> (endemic)	<i>Fringilla moreletii</i> (endemic)
Canary Isl.	<i>Fringilla coelebs</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Fringilla canariensis</i> (endemic)	<i>Fringilla canariensis</i> (endemic)
Canary Isl.	<i>Fringilla teydea</i> (single endemic species)	<i>Fringilla teydea</i> , <i>Fringilla polatzeki</i> (2 endemic species)	<i>Fringilla teydea</i> , <i>Fringilla polatzeki</i> (2 endemic species)
Madeira	<i>Regulus ignicapillus</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Regulus madeirensis</i> (endemic)	<i>Regulus madeirensis</i> (endemic)
Canary Isl.	<i>Regulus regulus</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Regulus regulus</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Regulus teneriffae</i> (endemic)
Canary Isl.	<i>Regulus regulus</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Regulus regulus</i> (non-endemic)	<i>Regulus ellenthalerae</i> (endemic)

## **SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES**

- S1. Clements, J.F., Schulenberg, T.S., Iliff, M.J., Roberson, D., Fredericks, T.A., Sullivan, B.L., and Wood, C.L. (2016). The eBird/Clements checklist of birds of the world: v2016.
- S2. Illera, J.C., Spurgin, L.G., Rodriguez-Exposito, E., Nogales, M., and Rando, J.C. (2016). What are we learning about speciation and extinction from the Canary Islands? *Ardeola* 63, 5–23.